RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 2 2006

DOCKET NO.: DIBIS-0002US.P4 (Counsel Docket No. 10448)

PATENT

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for discussing the claim amendments and the support thereof during the October 11, 2006 telephonic interview.

Claims 1-2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-44, 46-48 and 50-55 are pending in the present application. Claim 45 and claim 49 have been canceled. Claims 53-55 are new. Claims 1 and 31 have been amended to recite that the identification of one or more bioagents in a sample includes comparison of the measured base composition with a collection of nineteen or more know base compositions. Support for this amendment is found throughout the specification as filed. For example, in Table 7, which is on page 33 of the application, there is a recitation of nineteen bioagents, and a recitation of three primer pairs that will hybridize with the conserved regions of these bioagents to generate a base composition. As seen in the table, the base compositions generated for each of the representative primer pair shown in the table are unique for nine, eight and five of the bioagents, respectively. Other tables showing more than nineteen bioagents and their unique base compositions generated using a primer pair are shown in the specification as well. For example, at Tables 2 and 6 in the specification at pages 28 and 31. Additionally, at page 32 lines 6-7 of the specification it states that the primer pairs will produce unique base composition signatures. The new claims 53-55 recite bacterial genus members and viral family members, support for which can be found throughout the specification and figures, for example figures 14-16. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments are fully supported by the specification. No new matter has been added.

Amendments to the specification are merely correcting word processing errors. No new matter is added. The paragraph at page 32 was amended to correctly state that the table showing further distinction of organisms via multiple primers is Table 7, not Table 6. The paragraph states that the additional primer pairs are shown in bold. Review of the specification reveals that it is Table 7, not table 6 that shows the additional primer pairs. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been introduced into the specification and request the entry of this amendment.

Claim Rejections

Rejections under 35 USC § 112 first paragraph

Ø 010/010

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 1 2 2006

DOCKET NO.: DIBIS-0002US.P4 (Counsel Docket No. 10448)

PATENT -

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, 14, 17 and 28-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 first paragraph as allegedly failing to comply with the written description requirement. Examiner alleges that the incorporation of a range of 8 in the comparison of calculated bioagents appears to be new matter. Claims 45 and 49 have been canceled. Applicants do not concede that the Examiner's argument is correct. Rather, in order to expedite prosecution of this case, Applicants have amended independent claims 1 and 31 to recite the identifying of one or more bioagents in a sample includes comparing calculated base composition data with a collection of nineteen or more known base compositions having eight or more unique base composition signatures. These elements are also included in the dependent claims. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1-2, 4-10, 14, 17, 28-44, 46-48 and 50-52 do not present new matter, and request that this rejection is withdrawn.

Conclusions

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the claims of the instant application are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if there should be any questions with regard to the claimed invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeff Landes, Esq.

Registration No. 55,355

Direct: (760) 603-2528

Date: October 12,2006

ISIS Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 1896 Rutherford Rd. Carlsbad, CA 92008

Telephone: (760) 931-9200 Facsimile: (760) 603-3820